

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

The Minutes

October 13, 2003

There are no minutes for this date.

October 14, 2003

C041214 THE PEOPLE v. JONES, JR. (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.
MORRISON, J.
We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
 Kolkey, J.

C041264 THE PEOPLE v. DAVIS (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.
DAVIS, Acting P.J.
We concur: Hull, J.
 Robie, J.

C043816 THE PEOPLE v. SIMMONS (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.
SCOTLAND, P.J.
We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Robie, J.

C044036 THE PEOPLE v. GONZALES (Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.
SCOTLAND, P.J.
We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Robie, J.

October 15, 2003

C041637 THE PEOPLE v. DUNCAN (Certified for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed.
ROBIE, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Raye, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

2

The Minutes

October 15, 2003, continued

- C042720 DEAN v. GEORGE et al. (Not for Publication)**
The order setting aside and vacating the entry of defaults and the default judgments against defendants is affirmed. Defendants shall recover their costs on appeal.
DAVIS, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
Morrison, J.

October 16, 2003

- C040646 THE PEOPLE v. CAGLE (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
BLEASE, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
Robie, J.
- C042997 THE PEOPLE v. JACKSON (Not for Publication)**
The judgment (order extending the commitment) is affirmed.
SCOTLAND, P.J.
We concur: Hull, J.
Robie, J.
- C042235 WORK v. ARROW RENTALS, INC. (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed. Arrow shall recover its costs on appeal. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 27(a).)
HULL, J.
We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
Robie, J.
- C041828 In re DENZEL M. et al.; SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES v. DOROTHY R. (Not for Publication)**
The orders of the juvenile court are reversed, and the matter is remanded to the juvenile court with directions to order DHHS to provide each of the three Cherokee tribes listed in federal regulations with proper notice of the proceedings under the Act. If, after receiving notice under the Act, no tribe indicates the minors are Indian children within the meaning of the Act, then the juvenile court shall reinstate its orders without conducting a new evidentiary hearing. If, on the other hand, a tribe responds affirmatively, then the court must consider the issue at a new hearing and conduct that hearing pursuant to the procedural and evidentiary requirements of the Act.
SIMS, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
Nicholson, J.

